IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 24209 of 2005

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE BANKIM.N.MEHTA

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

SHANBHAI GIMBABBHAI VASAVA - Petitioner(s)
Versus
HONIABHAI GIBABHAI VASAVA - Respondent(s)

==========

Appearance :
MR SANDEEP N BHATT for Petitioner(s) : 1,
MR JOY MATHEW for Respondent(s) : 1,

==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE BANKIM.N.MEHTA

Date : 06/02/2007

ORAL JUDGMENT

1. Learned advocates for the parties agree that the trial court may be directed to expedite the hearing

of Regular Civil Suit No.4 of 2004 pending before it.

2. In view of the consensus between the learned advocates for the parties learned Civil Judge (J.D.) Dedepada is directed to dispose of Regular Civil Suit No.4 of 2004 pending before it as expeditiously as possible preferably within nine months from the date of receipt of writ of this Court. Mean while the parties are directed to maintain status as per the order passed by this Court on 19/12/2005 till final disposal of this suit before the trial court.

3. Learned advocate for the parties have assured that their clients would cooperate with the proceedings of the suit and shall not unnecessary delay the proceedings. Petition stands disposed of. Rule is made absolute to that extent with no order as to costs.

(Bankim N. Mehta, J.)

//smita//